IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

BRENDA J. SMITH,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
V.)	No. CIV-10-059-FHS-SPS
)	
CAROLYN W. COLVIN ¹ , Commissioner)	
Social Security Administration)	
)	
Defendant.)	

OPINION AND ORDER

Plaintiff's counsel filed a Motion For Attorney Fees Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) (Dkt. No. 25) on April 12, 2013. Judgment was entered in favor of Plaintiff on September 29, 2011, remanding this action to the Commissioner under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). On remand, the Commissioner issued a February 28, 2012, decision finding in favor of Plaintiff and determining that Plaintiff was entitled to disability and Supplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits for a period of disability beginning on March 18, 2007. On February 4, 2013, Plaintiff's counsel received a letter from the Social Security Administration notifying them that \$5,352.00 had been withheld by

¹The Court has been informed by Defendant that on February 14, 2013, Carolyn W. Colvin became the Acting Commissioner of Social Security. Pursuant to Rule 25 (d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Carolyn W. Colvin is automatically substituted for Michael J. Asture as the defendant in this action. Thus, this suit will proceed with this substitution in effect. See 42 U.S.C. Sec. 405 (g) ("Any action instituted in accordance with this subsection shall survive notwithstanding any change in the person occupying the office of Commissioner of Social Security or any vacancy in such office.")

the agency for the payment of attorney's fees making the total amount withheld for attorney fees \$11, 352.00. (\$6,000 previously paid for 42 U.S.C. § 406(a) fees and \$5,352.00 being withheld in anticipation of § 406(b) fees). This letter indicates that the \$11,352.00 amount represents 25% of a \$45,408.00 past-due benefits award to Plaintiff.

Attorney fees are awardable under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1) when social security claimant is awarded disability benefits following a remand from a federal district court. McGraw v. 496 (10th Cir. Barnhart, 450 F.3d 493, 2006). In such circumstances, the fourteen-day period running from the date of judgment under Fed.R.Civ.P. 54(d)(2)(B)(i) will have expired and claimants, through counsel, rely on Rule 60(b)(6) to seek such fees well after the expiration of the fourteen-day period. at 505. The McGraw Court noted, however, that "[a] motion for an award of fees under § 406(b)(1) should be filed within a reasonable time of the Commissioner's decision benefits." <u>Id</u>. The Court finds it appropriate to authorize Plaintiff's counsel to file her § 406(b)(1) motion for attorney fees following the receipt of the February 4, 2013, letter of the withheld notifying counsel fee amount corresponding past-due benefits amount. The "decision awarding benefits" language referenced by the McGraw Court necessarily includes not only the favorable decision on disability, but the actual award of past-due benefits. Plaintiff's counsel entitled to file her motion within a reasonable time of being informed of the past-due benefits amount. Based on the record before it, the Court finds the motion timely.

Plaintiff's counsel has moved the Court to approve an attorney fee award under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1) in the amount of \$10,200.00 for counsel's representation of Plaintiff before the Court. Counsel's requested fees do not exceed either the amount contracted for in the parties' contingency agreement or the 25% limitation of section 406(b). Neither the Commissioner nor the Plaintiff have presented any objection to Plaintiff's counsel's request for fees in the amount of \$10,200.00. The Commissioner has filed an informative response on the various points of law to be considered, but does not challenge the reasonableness of the requested fee. Plaintiff has not filed any response objection. The Court has conducted an independent review of the record, including the contingency-fee contract between counsel and Plaintiff, and counsel's documented time records, concludes counsel's motion is timely and that the requested attorney fee amount of \$10,200.00 is reasonable under the facts and circumstances of this case given the nature and quality of the representation and the results achieved. See Gisbrecht v. Barnhart, 535 U.S. 789, 807-809 (2002); see also Wren v. Astrue, 525 F.3d 931, 937 (10th Cir. 2008)("the 25% limitation of fees for court representation found in § 406(b) is not itself limited by the amount of fees awarded by the Commissioner").

Consequently, Plaintiff's counsel's Motion For Attorney Fees Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) (Dkt. No. 25) is granted in the amount of \$10,200.00. Pursuant to Weakley v. Bowen, 803 F.2d 575, 580 (10th Cir. 1986), Plaintiff's counsel is directed to refund to Plaintiff the smaller amount of fees (\$4,919.90) previously awarded under the Equal Access to Justice Act

("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d). This refund is for the full EAJA amount, without any withholding, offset, or deduction.

It is so ordered this 15th day of May, 2013.

Frank H. Seay

United States District Judge Eastern District of Oklahoma